

## MINUTES OF A MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 4<sup>th</sup> JULY 2016

Subject	Description	Actions
<b>Present:</b>	Geoff Chapman (Chair), Emma Turner, Ruth Knight, Nick Tilley, Wendy Tilley, Adele Stevenson, Hannah Pearce, John Glasscock(Chair of Oakley and Deane Neighbourhood Planning group)	
<b>Apologies:</b>	Pip Hartley, Sue Robinson	
<b>Declarations of interest</b>	None	
<b>Presentation by John Glasscock</b>	<p>The purpose of the additional meeting was to hear how Oakley and Deane had produced their Neighbourhood Plan (now accepted) and what lessons had been learnt from the process.</p> <p>The Oakley and Deane journey had been as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. July 2013- neighbourhood area designated</li> <li>2. September 2013- team formed</li> <li>3. Vision statement agreed. It had been important to involve community views in creating this vision.</li> <li>4. Policies created (using community evidence base)- see point 8.</li> <li>5. Training on two areas:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Community consultation (important to give a focus)</li> <li>b. Site assessment (important to have an open call for sites from community and landowners but keep in mind that Basingstoke and Deane needs to approve so get their advice and aim to take them along with it)</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. January 2014- 1<sup>st</sup> formal community engagement. Open questions. Focus- 'what can be solved by new developments, eg traffic problems, parking problems etc. Evidence from this can be used to frame developments (eg avoid developments which would make traffic problems worse). 322 responses</li> <li>7. April 2014- 2<sup>nd</sup> formal consultations taking the form of a tick box questionnaire. 655 responses. Goals and objectives created. In the final document important to cross reference evidence to these.</li> <li>8. May 2014- progress to date shared with B and Deane who said better to allocate sites first rather than frame policies first so it was back to the drawing board. They recommend a site based approach rather than a policy based approach. So they talked to developers and asked 'what would you give us in return'? The community was asked if they would prefer the 150 houses on one site or spread over multiple sites (preferred). Each developer was</li> </ol>	

## NWNP

	<p>therefore asked how they would allocate their 15 houses and what additional facilities they would provide.</p> <p>9. March 2015- pre-submission plan sent to all (community, landowners, developers). Comments received. A very lengthy process which took until July 2015 to analyse and re-work.</p> <p>10. July 2015. Re-submission.</p> <p>John was thanked for an excellent review of the process and for advice on pitfalls and lessons learnt.</p> <p><b>What next for us:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Important to look at possible sites (see email from Geoff, summarised below)</li> <li>2. We need a sustainability appraisal (Oakley used a company called Aecom based in Basingstoke). Cost was £6000.</li> </ol> <p><b>In order for us to start the exercise of identifying potential sites for housing development around the neighbourhood and hold an open discussion about site selection, Geoff to deliver to all, this week, a copy of the map of the Parish so that in time for the next meeting (21st July) everyone can mark-up their initial thoughts in the following categories:</b></p> <p><b>Sites for potential dwellings</b>  <b>Green Spaces for protection</b>  <b>Views for protection</b>  <b>Potential strategic gaps</b></p> <p>See link below to a map of the village that shows:</p> <p>Conservation Area</p> <p>Most recent SHLAA areas from the BDBC Local (click for details)</p> <p>Ancient Woodland and listed buildings in the parish (click for details)</p> <p><a href="http://dev.geoffreychapman.co.uk/nwnp/">http://dev.geoffreychapman.co.uk/nwnp/</a></p>	<p><b>Action: all</b></p>
<p><b>Next Meeting</b></p>	<p>The next meeting is at 8pm Thursday 21st July, at the Rathbone Pavilion.</p>	